

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/541,516	Applicant(s) BIERMANS ET AL.
	Examiner /Frank M. Lawrence/	Art Unit 1797

All Participants:(1) Frank M. Lawrence. (3) _____.(2) Bryan Davidson. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2007**Time:** 10 am e.t.**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

EP '292

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant approved an examiner's amendment to clarify claim 1 by stating that the condensation step is carried out in a condenser separate from the NH3 separation device in order to clearly distinguish over the prior art.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)